

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/663,532		09/16/2003	Paul Brent Rivers	BE1-0028US	3579
49584	7590	02/22/2006	•	EXAMINER	
LEE & H	AYES, PL	LC	PATEL, TAJASH D		
421 W. RI	VERSIDE A	AVE.			
SUITE 500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SPOKANE, WA 99201				3765	

DATE MAILED: 02/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

6

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/663,532	RIVERS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tejash D. Patel	3765					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 De	ecember 2005.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-4,6 and 8-22 is/are pending in the a	Claim(s) 1-4,6 and 8-22 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 19 and 20 is/are with	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>19 and 20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 1-4,6,8-18,21 and 22 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	* ' '	, ,					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	, , , , ,						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H S C & 119(a)	h-(d) or (f)					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	• •						
application from the International Bureau	*	d in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	, , , ,	d					
dec the attached detailed office action for a list of	or the definited doples not receive	u.					
Attachment(s)	,, — , , , , , ,	(070 440)					
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Motice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) [_] Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/663,532

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 19 and 20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Group 1 and 2, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on December 14, 2005 for Group III that pertains to claims 1-4, 6, 8-18 and 21-22.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 3, 4 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Donzis (US 4,441,211). Donzis discloses an upper body garment/jacket/coat/vest/shirt (10) including a base fabric having front and rear portions that has opening for the arms and the head and has a breast portion on the front portion, such that a first protective panel (16) is attached to the left breast portion of the base fabric as shown in figure 1 which inherently protects the upper body portion during descent from a vertical surface.

Additionally, a second protective panel (16) is attached to the right breast portion of the base in a non-overlapping configuration as shown in figure 1. Also, the garment includes a collar portion and pair of sleeves being provided on the front portion of the fabric as also shown in figure 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 11-18 and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Donzis in view of Bachner (US 6,154,880). Donzis discloses the invention as set forth above except for showing the protective panel of the garment being made of aramid fiber such as KEVLAR.

Bachner discloses a garment having ballistic panels being made of aramid fibers such as KEVLAR with different structural properties, col. 1, line 5 – col. 15, line 35.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to recognized that the protective panels of Donzis can be made of aramid fibers such as KEVLAR as taught by Bachner as conventionally known in the art. Further, with regard to claims 13-18, it

Art Unit: 3765

would have been obvious that the panel of Donzis when viewed with Backner can be formed from any desired strength, denier, weight, warp count, fill count, etc through routine experimentation or depending on the end use thereof.

6. Claims 6, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Donzis in view of Parker et al. (US 5,247,707). Donzis discloses the invention as set forth above except for showing loops being attached to the front portion of the garment and having the bottom of the garment being attached to a safety belt by loops.

Parker et al. (hereinafter Parker) discloses loops (16) being attached to a front portion of a garment and having the bottom of the garment being attached to a safety belt (B) by loops (14) as shown in figure 1

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the garment of Donzis with loops being attached to the front portion of the garment and having the bottom of the garment being attached to a safety belt by loops as taught by Parker, so that desired items can be carried by the wearer while load is evenly distributed about the body or as required for a particular application thereof.

7. Claims 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Donzis in view of Regan (US 6,141,800). Donzis discloses the invention as set forth above except for

Art Unit: 3765

showing the garment having the elongated portion having a protective panel being attached to a hand portion thereof.

Regan discloses a garment having the elongated portion (20) having a protective panel (30) being attached to a hand portion thereof as shown in figure 1.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the garment of Donzis with an elongated portion having a protective panel being attached to a hand portion thereof as taught by Regan or other parts of the forearm, so that the users arms/hands are protected from external surfaces or as required for a particular application thereof.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tejash Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4993. The fax phone number for this group is (571) 273-8300.

TEJASH PATEL PRIMARY EXAMINER

February 17, 2006